trans-Chloro(dimethylamine-N)bis[dimethylglyoximato(1–)-N,N']cobalt(III), [CoCl(C₄H₇N₂O₂)₂{NH(CH₃)₂}]

BY A. T. H. LENSTRA, H. J. GEISE AND S. K. TYRLIK*

University of Antwerp (UIA), Department of Chemistry, Universiteitsplein 1, B-2610 Wilrijk, Belgium

(Received 6 June 1983; accepted 18 January 1984)

Abstract. $M_r = 369.8$, orthorhombic, $P2_1nb$ (equivalent positions: x, y, z; $\frac{1}{2} + x$, -y, -z; $\frac{1}{2} + x$, $\frac{1}{2} - y$, $\frac{1}{2} + z$; x, $\frac{1}{2} + y$, $\frac{1}{2} - z$), Z = 4, a = 9.136 (2), b = 12.650 (3), c = 13.981 (2) Å, V = 1615.8 Å³, $D_x = 1.52$ Mg m⁻³, $\lambda(Mo K\alpha) = 0.7107$ Å, $\mu(Mo K\alpha) = 1.291$ mm⁻¹, F(000) = 768, room temperature, $R_w = 0.039$ for 1120 reflections. A comparison of the geometry of the title compound with other chloro-cobaloximes carrying *trans*-related N-donor ligands shows that the Co–Cl distance is rather insensitive to changes in the nature of the N-donor ligand. There are two short O···O hydrogen bridges of 2.45 (1) and 2.42 (1) Å.

Introduction. Our interest in cobaloximes – compounds containing the Co(Hdmg)₂ unit, where Hdmg is the monoanion of dimethylglyoxime - is stimulated by their similarity to vitamin B_{12} . Like vitamin B_{12} , they show catalytic properties in hydrogenation processes. In terms of structural properties cobaloximes are interesting, because the octahedral Co coordination in trans-Co(Hdmg), XY is strongly dependent upon the electronic and steric properties of the X and Y ligands. Electronic interactions between Co^{III} and X and Y reveal themselves in such a way that, for example, Co-Xlengths increase with the σ -donor ability of the trans-situated ligand Y. Steric interactions, introduced, for example, by the bulkiness of Y, cause a further lengthening of the Co-X bond as well as distortions in the octahedral symmetry (Bresciani-Pahor, Randaccio, Toscano, Sandercock & Marzilli, 1982). In order to obtain reference values for the Co-Cl length we investigated the title compound Co(Hdmg),Cl(NHMe,) and compared its geometry with those of six other chloro-cobaloximes having axial N-donor ligands.

Experimental. The title compound was prepared by dissolving 3.00 g (0.0083 mol) dichlorocobaloxime [Co(Hdmg)₂Cl.HCl], upon gentle heating, in 400 ml absolute ethanol. Then 0.75 g (0.0166 mol) dimethyl-amine in 50 ml ethanol was added dropwise. After cooling, and evaporating *ca* 300 ml solvent, the crude product precipitated. It was recrystallized from

methanol/water (1/1). Crystals suitable for X-ray analysis were obtained after two days storage in a refrigerator.

Crystal $0.15 \times 0.2 \times 0.15$ mm, cell dimensions (from setting angles of 25 reflections) and subsequent collection of intensity data (ω scan) performed on an Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 diffractometer; three intensityand orientation-control reflections measured every 120 min and every 200 reflections, respectively, showed no significant drift in intensity; 1512 independent intensity data, $\theta_{max} = 25^{\circ}$, 1120 unique reflections with $I > 2\sigma(I)$, no correction for absorption effects in view of the small size of the crystal and low μ . Systematic extinctions indicated *Pmnb* and $P2_1nb$ as possible space groups. The latter, chosen because of the acentric Edistribution and the density of the compound, was corroborated by the analysis. Structure solved from Patterson and heavy-atom Fourier maps; most of the H atoms found from difference electron density calculations, the remainder located at expected positions. Positional and anisotropic thermal parameters of non-hydrogen atoms refined on F with weights based on counting statistics, coordinates of H atoms and their Debye-Waller temperature parameters (4 Å²) kept fixed. R = 0.042, $R_w = 0.039$, S = 3.12, $(\Delta/\sigma)_{max} =$ 0.15, maximum noise level in the final difference Fourier map $0.3 \text{ e} \text{ Å}^{-3}$. Atomic scattering factors from International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974), all calculations performed with the SDP program series (Frenz, 1978).

Discussion. Refined parameters are listed in Table 1, the numbering of atoms is given in Fig. 1.[†]

The Co^{III} ion is coordinated by four N atoms of two Hdmg ligands, one N atom of the dimethylamine and a chlorine. Contact distances and corresponding angles of the resulting, slightly distorted octahedron are tabulated in Table 2. Relevant bond lengths and angles of the ligands are given in Table 3.

^{*} On leave from Laboratory of Homogeneous Catalysis, Institute of Organic Chemistry, Polish Academy of Sciences, Kasprzaka 44, 00-961 Warszawa, Poland.

⁺ Lists of structure factors, anisotropic thermal parameters and H-atom coordinates have been deposited with the British Library Lending Division as Supplementary Publication No. SUP 39145 (8 pp.). Copies may be obtained through The Executive Secretary, International Union of Crystallography, 5 Abbey Square, Chester CH1 2HU, England.

edges and isotropic temperature factors $(Å^2)$

The e.s.d.'s, given in parentheses, refer to the last significant digit. Isotropic temperature factors are calculated from anisotropic temperature parameters according to Lipson & Cochran (1968) $[B_{\rm iso} = 8\pi^2 (U_{11}^{\circ} U_{22}^{\circ} U_{33}^{\circ})^{1/3}]$, assuming equal volume of the 50% probability region. All anisotropic temperature parameters were physically acceptable.

	x	У	Ζ	B_{iso}
Co	0.00000	0.39059 (9)	0.29708 (8)	2.56
Cl	0.0960 (4)	0.2365 (2)	0.2471 (2)	4.07
O(1A)	0.1134 (9)	0-3448 (5)	0-4814 (4)	5.54
O(2A)	-0.2740 (7)	0.3350 (5)	0-2162 (5)	5.01
N(1A)	-0.0014 (12)	0.3371 (5)	0.4215 (5)	3.44
N(2A)	-0.1884 (8)	0.3283 (5)	0.2960 (5)	2.97
C(1A)	-0.140 (2)	0.245(1)	0.5460 (8)	6.94
C(2A)	-0.118(1)	0.2919 (7)	0.4510(7)	3.82
C(3A)	-0.231(1)	0.2868 (7)	0.3766 (8)	3.45
C(4A)	-0.375 (1)	0.238(1)	0.3863 (9)	5.87
O(1 <i>B</i>)	-0.1089 (8)	-0.0668 (6)	0.3895 (4)	4.79
O(2 <i>B</i>)	0.2787 (8)	-0.0623 (5)	0.1244 (5)	3.86
N(1 <i>B</i>)	0.001 (1)	-0.0594 (5)	0.3277 (4)	3.25
N(2 <i>B</i>)	0.1963 (8)	-0.0512 (5)	0.2029 (5)	2.65
C(1 <i>B</i>)	0.143(1)	0.0410 (8)	0.4486 (7)	5.50
C(2B)	0.119(1)	-0.0121 (8)	0.3551 (6)	3.41
C(3B)	0.236(1)	-0.0098 (6)	0.2834 (6)	2.22
C(4B)	0.382(1)	0.0377 (6)	0.2959 (8)	5.46
N(1 <i>D</i>)	0.4289 (8)	0.4679 (6)	0.6607 (5)	3.08
C(1D)	0.464 (1)	0.4355 (7)	0.5656 (6)	3.69
C(2D)	0.273(1)	0.4426 (8)	0.6810 (8)	5.35

Table 2. Distances (Å) and angles (°) in the cobalt coordination

Co-Cl	2.248 (2)	$Co-N(1B^{ii})$	1.855 (5)
$Co-N(1D^i)$	1.994 (5)	Co-N(1A)	1.866 (5)
$Co-N(2B^{ii})$	1.939 (5)	Co-N(2A)	1.893 (6)
$Cl-Co-N(1D^{l})$	175.8 (2)	$N(1D^i)$ -Co- $N(2A)$	94.6 (2)
$Cl-Co-N(1B^{ii})$	90.1 (2)	$N(1B^{ii})-Co-N(2B^{ii})$	82.4 (3)
$Cl-Co-N(2B^{ii})$	88.2 (2)	$N(1B^{ii})-Co-N(1A)$	178.7 (2)
C -Co-N(1A)	88.8 (2)	$N(1B^{ii})-Co-N(2A)$	97.9 (4)
Cl-Co-N(2A)	89.5 (2)	$N(2B^{ii})-Co-N(1A)$	98.3 (4)
$N(1D^{i})-Co-N(1B^{ii})$	88.5 (2)	$N(2B^{ii})-Co-N(2A)$	177.7 (3)
$N(1D^{i})-Co-N(2B^{ii})$	87.7 (2)	N(IA)-Co-N(2A)	81.4 (3)
$N(1D^{i})-Co-N(1A)$	92.7 (2)		

Symmetry code: (i) $-\frac{1}{2} + x$, 1 - y, 1 - z. (ii) $x, \frac{1}{2} + y, \frac{1}{2} - z$.



Fig. 1. ORTEP drawing (Johnson, 1965) of the title compound with atomic numbering.

Table 1. Positional parameters in fractions of the cell Table 3. Bond distances (Å) and valence angles (°) in the dimethylglyoximato and dimethylamine ligands

The e.s.d.'s are $ca \ 0.01$ Å and 0.8° , respectively.

N(1)-O(1) C(1)-C(2) C(2)-C(3) C(2)-N(1) C(3)-C(4) C(3)-N(2) N(2)-O(2)	Hdmg Ligand A 1.33 1.48 1.46 1.29 1.47 1.29 1.34	Hdmg Ligand B 1·35 1·47 1·47 1·28 1·46 1·30 1·37	
$\begin{array}{l} O(1)-N(1)-C(2)\\ N(1)-C(2)-C(1)\\ N(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(1)-C(2)-C(3)\\ C(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(2)-C(3)-N(2)\\ N(2)-C(3)-C(4)\\ C(3)-N(2)-O(2) \end{array}$	118.1 126.2 114.5 119.3 125.9 112.5 121.5 126.8	118-7 126-0 112-2 121-8 126-0 112-8 121-1 124-3	
$\begin{array}{l} N(1D)-C(1D) \\ N(1D)-C(2D) \end{array}$	1.43 1.48	C(1D)-N(1D)-C(2D)	109-2

Table 4. Comparison of complexes Co(Hdmg)₂ClY

d represents the distance of the Co atom from the N(1A)-N(2A)N(1B)N(2B) plane; α is the angle between the N(1A)-C(2A)C(3A)N(2A) and N(1B)C(2B)C(3B)N(2B) planes; the two torsion angles N=C(2)-C(3)=N are denoted by φ . mor is morpholine and pyr is pyrrolidine.

Y	Ref- erence	Co-Cl (Å)	Co-N(axial) (Å)	d (Å)	α (°)	φ (°)
NH,	1	2.251(1)	1.965 (4)	0.006	2	3:0
MeNH	2	2.248 (2)	1.994 (5)	0.029 (5)	2	1:4
mor	3	2-256(1)	2.022 (3)	0.046 (4)	7	3:4
руг	4	2-235(1)	2.004 (4)	0.025 (4)	4	3:2
C, H, NH,	5	2.261(1)	2.019 (2)	0.004	7	3:1
CIC, H, NH,	6	2.257(2)	1.999 (6)	0.008	4	1:1
H,NSO,C,H,NF	1, 6	2-235 (3)	2.023 (8)	0.005	6	1:2

References: (1) Brückner & Randaccio (1974); (2) this work; (3) Lenstra, Van Loock, Tyrlik & Stąpowska (1982); (4) Tyrlik, Van Loock, Lenstra & Geise (1982); (5) Botoshanskii, Simonov, Malinovskii, Ablov & Bologa (1975): (6) Palenik, Sullivan & Naik (1976).

The main distortion in the octahedron is introduced by the rigidity of the N=C-C=N fragments of the Hdmg anions. Both N(1)-Co-N(2) angles of the five-membered rings Co-N(1)=C(2)-C(3)-N(2) are decreased from 90° to ca 81°, while the complementary angles N(A)-Co-N(B) are increased to ca 98°, in order to keep the four equatorial N atoms coplanar; they are coplanar to within 0.01 Å. The Co atom is shifted over d = 0.029 (5) Å from the N(1A)N(2A)-N(1B)N(2B) plane towards the dimethylamine. As judged by the values of the torsion angles $\varphi[N=C(2)-$ C(3)=N, being 1 and 4° for ligands A and B. respectively, the Hdmg units are individually planar. The interplanar angle α , defined as the angle between the N(1A)C(2A)C(3A)N(2A) and N(1B)C(2B)C(3B)-N(2B) planes, amounts to 2° .

The more important geometrical data of the title compound are compared in Table 4 with those of other

cobaloximes having a chlorine and a N-donor ligand in *trans* relation. It follows that the Co–Cl distance is rather insensitive towards changes in the structure of the *trans* N-donor ligand. The values, ranging from $2 \cdot 235$ to $2 \cdot 261$ Å with an average of $2 \cdot 249$ (10) Å, are, however, significantly smaller than those reported (Marzilli, Toscano, Ramsden, Randaccio & Bresciani-Pahor, 1982) for chloro-cobaloximes with a *trans* P-donor ligand. In the latter complexes the range of Co–Cl is from $2 \cdot 277$ to $2 \cdot 294$ Å.

Turning to the Co-N(axial) distances (Table 4) one immediately notices much more variation. If only steric interactions were responsible, one would expect the Co-N to increase in the series $Co(Hdmg)_2ClY$ with $Y = NH_3 < NH_2R < NHR_2$. Indeed, the smallest Co-N is observed for $Y = NH_3$ but all other complexes fall in the same range. At first sight one concludes that none of the listed ligands is sterically demanding. A more detailed analysis, however, shows that local crowding around the central Co^{III} exists and has consequences for the structures examined. cis effects are in operation as revealed by two indicators. The first indicator is the shift (d) of the Co^{III} out of the least-squares plane through the four N atoms of the two Hdmg ligands; d is taken as positive when Co is shifted towards the axial N ligand, or in an alternative way of thinking when the cis-ligand plane (the Hdmg ligands) is pushed away from the axial N ligand. Examination of Table 4 shows that d is significantly larger for secondary amines than for primary amines or the NH₃ molecule. With primary amines or NH_3 as an axial ligand d is practically zero. The second indicator is the angle α between the two Hdmg moieties, all of which can be considered planar as judged by the small values $(0-4^{\circ})$ of the torsion angle $\varphi(N=C-C=N)$. Crowding will increase α . Table 4 shows that α is small (ca 2°) for small axial ligands such as NH₃ and NH(CH₃)₂, but that α is roughly three times larger for the more bulky ligands, irrespective of whether this is a secondary or a primary amine. We conclude that cis effects are operative in cobaloximes.

The title compound has two short hydrogen bridges, viz $O(1B)\cdots O(2A)$ at 2.45 (1) Å and $O(1A)\cdots O(2B)$ at 2.42 (1) Å. The accuracy of the data did not permit us to locate H atoms in these O····O bridges, nor could we infer information about the hydrogen positions from a comparison of Co–N(equatorial) and N–O bond lengths as indicated by Palenik, Sullivan & Naik (1976) and by Bresciani-Pahor, Calligaris & Randaccio (1978). Therefore the question whether the complex is truly Cl(Hdmg)₂CoNH(CH₃)₂ or should be formulated as Cl(dmg)(H₂dmg)CoNH(CH₃)₂ remains to be answered.

SKT thanks the Belgian National Science Foundation (NFWO) for a research grant. The help of Mr M. De Wolf with a part of the computations is gratefully acknowledged.

References

- BOTOSHANSKII, M. M., SIMONOV, YU. A., MALINOVSKII, T. I., ABLOV, A. V. & BOLOGA, O. A. (1975). *Dokl. Akad. Nauk* SSSR, 225, 323-325.
- BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N., CALLIGARIS, M. & RANDACCIO, L. (1978). Inorg. Chim. Acta, 27, 47-52.
- BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N., RANDACCIO, L., TOSCANO, P. G., SANDERCOCK, A. C. & MARZILLI, L. G. (1982). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. p. 129 and references cited therein.
- BRÜCKNER, S. & RANDACCIO, L. (1974). J. Chem. Soc. Dalton Trans. p. 1017.
- FRENZ, B. A. (1978). The Enraf-Nonius CAD-4 SDP. Computing in Crystallography, edited by H. SCHENK, R. OLTHOF-HAZEKAMP, H. VAN KONNINGSVELD & G. C. BASSI, pp. 64–71. Delft Univ. Press.
- International Tables for X-ray Crystallography (1974). Vol. IV. Birmingham: Kynoch Press.
- JOHNSON, C. K. (1965). ORTEP. Report ORNL-3794. Oak Ridge National Laboratory, Tennessee.
- LENSTRA, A. T. H., VAN LOOCK, J. F. J., TYRLIK, S. K. & STĄPOWSKA, H. (1982). Bull. Soc. Chim. Belg. 91, 917–923.
- LIPSON, H. & COCHRAN, W. (1968). The Determination of Crystal Structures, p. 301. London: Bell.
- MARZILLI, L. G., TOSCANO, P. J., RAMSDEN, J. H., RANDACCIO, L. & BRESCIANI-PAHOR, N. (1982). Catalytic Aspects of Metal Phosphine Complexes, edited by E. C. ALYEA & D. W. MEEK, Ch. 5, Adv. Chem. Ser. No. 196.
- PALENIK, G. J., SULLIVAN, D. A. & NAIK, D. V. (1976). J. Am. Chem. Soc. 98, 1177–1182.
- TYRLIK, S. K., VAN LOOCK, J. F. J., LENSTRA, A. T. H. & GEISE, H. J. (1982). Unpublished results.

Acta Cryst. (1984). C40, 751-753

Structure of (5,10,15,20-Tetraphenyl-21H,23H-porphinato)platinum(II), C₄₄H₂₈N₄Pt

By Alan Hazell

Institute of Chemistry, Århus University, DK-8000 Århus C, Denmark

(Received 11 November 1983; accepted 10 January 1984)

Abstract. $M_r = 807 \cdot 8$, tetragonal, $I\overline{4}2d$, $a = 15 \cdot 073$ (1), $c = 13 \cdot 988$ (1) Å, $V = 3178 \cdot 0$ (5) Å³, Z = 4, $D_x = 1 \cdot 688$ (3) Mg m⁻³, λ (Cu Ka) = $1 \cdot 5418$ Å, $\mu = 8 \cdot 632$ (1) mm⁻¹, F(000) = 1592, room temper-

ature. Final R = 0.012 for 1051 observed reflexions. The porphine nucleus is slightly ruffled, with N alternately 0.025 (3) Å above and below the plane. Pt-N is 2.008 (2) Å. The pyrrole ring is tilted at an

0108-2701/84/050751-03\$01.50

© 1984 International Union of Crystallography